

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

HENRY HOLMES,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

USAA SAVINGS BANK, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:21-cv-00446-JAD-NJK

Order

13 Pending before the Court is a Stipulated Protective Order, which the Court approved to
14 facilitate discovery in this case. This order reminds counsel that there is a presumption of public
15 access to judicial files and records. A party seeking to file a confidential document under seal
16 must file a motion to seal and must comply with the Ninth Circuit's directives in *Kamakana v. City*
17 *and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006).

18 The Court has adopted electronic filing procedures. Attorneys must file documents under
19 seal using the Court's electronic filing procedures. *See* Local Rule IA 10-5. Papers filed with the
20 Court under seal must be accompanied with a concurrently-filed motion for leave to file those
21 documents under seal. *See* Local Rule IA 10-5(a).

22 The Court has approved the blanket protective order to facilitate discovery exchanges. But
23 **there has been no showing, and the Court has not found, that any specific documents are**
24 **secret or confidential**. The parties have not provided specific facts supported by declarations or
25 concrete examples to establish that a protective order is required to protect any specific trade secret
26 or other confidential information pursuant to Rule 26(c) or that disclosure would cause an
27 identifiable and significant harm. The Ninth Circuit has held that there is a presumption of public
28 access to judicial files and records, and that parties seeking to maintain the confidentiality of

1 documents attached to nondispositive motions must show good cause exists to overcome the
2 presumption of public access. *See Kamakana* 447 F.3d at 1179. Parties seeking to maintain the
3 secrecy of documents attached to dispositive motions must show compelling reasons sufficient to
4 overcome the presumption of public access. *Id.* at 1180. **All motions to seal must address the**
5 **applicable standard and explain why that standard has been met.** The fact that a court has
6 entered a blanket stipulated protective order and that a party has designated a document as
7 confidential pursuant to that protective order does not, standing alone, establish sufficient grounds
8 to seal a filed document. *See Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1133 (9th
9 Cir. 2003); *see also Beckman Indus., Inc. v. Int'l Ins. Co.*, 966 F.2d 470, 476 (9th Cir. 1992).

10 If the sole ground for a motion to seal is that the opposing party (or non-party) has
11 designated a document as confidential, the designator shall file (within seven days of the filing of
12 the motion to seal) either (1) a declaration establishing sufficient justification for sealing each
13 document at issue or (2) a notice of withdrawal of the designation(s) and consent to unsealing. If
14 neither filing is made, the Court may order the document(s) unsealed without further notice.

15 **IT IS ORDERED** that counsel shall comply with the requirements of Local Rule IA 10-
16 5, the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d 1172, and the procedures outlined above,
17 with respect to any documents filed under seal. To the extent any aspect of the stipulated protective
18 order may conflict with this order or Local Rule IA 10-5, that aspect of the stipulated protective
19 order is hereby superseded with this order.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: December 14, 2021

22
23 
24 Nancy J. Koppe
25 United States Magistrate Judge
26
27
28